



Modern Indian History Series

Revolt of 1857 : Political Causes : Modern Indian History

Dalhousie | Doctrine of Lapse | UPSC

Doctrine of Lapse | Punjab Annexation | Telegraph & Railways

Watch on YouTube: <https://youtu.be/lzTllh3QAOk>

clarityupsc.com | Telegram: @Clarity_of_Concept_UPSC | App Store & Play Store

Introduction: Why 1857 Matters

Understanding the build-up to India's first major armed resistance against British rule

The Revolt of 1857 was a **large-scale armed rebellion** that spread across thousands of kilometres. **Lakhs of people** rebelled and **crores** were affected. For a brief period, it appeared that British rule could be overthrown — almost **90 years before 1947**.

This uprising was not sudden. Pressure had been building for **decades**, with roots going back nearly **100 years to 1757**. Smaller rebellions and local uprisings kept occurring across India, and by 1857 the accumulated pressure finally exploded.

After this revolt, the British **completely changed their approach** to governing India — making 1857 a **watershed event**. The most critical triggers came in the **nine years just before 1857**, a period of rapid and destabilising change.

Framework: Four Categories of Causes

The causes of the revolt can be divided into **four categories**:

Category	Focus Area
Political Causes	Annexations, broken treaties, Dalhousie's policies

Economic Causes	Drain of wealth, destruction of industries
Socio-Religious	Social reforms, missionary activity, cultural fears
Immediate Cause	The Enfield rifle cartridge controversy

*This video focuses on **Political Causes** — Lord Dalhousie's transformative 8-year rule (1848–1856).*

Political Causes of the Revolt

The Pre-Dalhousie Scenario

Till the mid-1840s, **agreements between the British and princely states were mostly honoured**. Indian rulers believed that treaties would be respected. There was at least **some degree of trust** between the Company and native rulers.

But from 1848 onwards, the mood started changing dramatically.

Lord Dalhousie: The Man Who Changed Everything

In 1848, **Lord Dalhousie** became Governor-General at just **35 years** — the youngest ever. He had a clear mindset: **"This land must be controlled fully."** He wanted rapid British expansion and the systematic reduction of Indian rulers' power.

His **8-year tenure (1848–1856)** broke the political balance of India. Trust between the Company and Indian rulers collapsed. Treaties and promises came to be seen as **mere words that could be discarded anytime**.

LORD DALHOUSIE — KEY FACTS

Full Name	James Andrew Broun-Ramsay
Title	1st Marquess of Dalhousie
Age on Arrival	35 years old (youngest G-G)
Tenure	1848 – 1856 (8 years)
Key Policy	Doctrine of Lapse
Legacy	Most aggressive territorial expansion since Wellesley

Dalhousie’s Three Strategies

Dalhousie’s political actions can be grouped into **three strategies**:

#	Strategy	Objective
1	Expand Territory	Annex kingdoms via Doctrine of Lapse
2	Secure the Frontier	Neutralise Punjab as border threat
3	Connect the Empire	Link via telegraph & railways

STRATEGY 1

Expand British Territory: Doctrine of Lapse

Dalhousie believed the Company should not merely **influence** Indian states but **own** them directly. He wanted fewer Indian kingdoms and more land under Company control.

He introduced a policy: if a ruler had no **natural (biological) son**, the state would not pass to an adopted heir. Instead, it would **“lapse” to the Company**. This policy became known as the **Doctrine of Lapse**.

DOCTRINE OF LAPSE

If a king has no **natural (biological) son**, the state will NOT pass to an adopted heir. Instead, the state will **“lapse” to the British East India Company**.

Case 1: Satara (1848) — The First Blow

Satara, a Maratha princely state in western India, became the **first target**. When its ruler died in 1848, there was an adopted heir as per Indian tradition. Dalhousie **refused to recognise the adoption** and declared the state “lapsed” to the Company.

The message was clear: your treaty will not save you, your tradition will not save you. If they want your state, they will take it.

This became a **pattern** — a decision in Calcutta, annexation on the ground, and a public announcement. Resistance was suppressed with force.

Timeline of Major Annexations

Year	State	Region	Significance
1848	Satara	Western India	First application of Doctrine
1849	Jaitpur	Bundelkhand	Smaller state annexed
1849	Sambalpur	Central-East	Pattern extending further
1853	Jhansi	Bundelkhand	Most emotional case
1854	Nagpur	Central India	Major Bhonsle kingdom

Case 2: Nagpur (1854) — A Major Warning

Nagpur, ruled by the **Bhonsle dynasty**, was a major kingdom in central India. When its ruler died in 1853 without a natural heir, Dalhousie applied the Doctrine of Lapse and **annexed Nagpur by 1854**.

This was a major warning: even a powerful, well-established state could be taken in one administrative decision.

Case 3: Jhansi (1853–54) — The Most Emotional Case

Maharaja Gangadhar Rao of Jhansi adopted a boy named **Anand Rao** (renamed **Damodar Rao**, aged ~4)

shortly before his death in 1853. He requested that the British accept the adoption and allow **Rani Lakshmbai** (aged just 24) to rule as regent.

The Company refused, declaring “**Adoption is not equal to a natural heir.**” In **March 1854**, Jhansi was formally annexed. The Rani was told to accept a pension and leave the fort.

“ Main apni Jhansi nahi doongi

(I will not give up my Jhansi) — Rani Lakshmbai, aged just 24

She did **not rebel immediately**. She tried peaceful methods first — prayers, petitions, and letters — fighting through legal channels for months. But all her requests were **ignored and rejected**.

Jhansi was taken not by war but by paperwork — and that made the anger deeper, because people felt cheated, not defeated.

STRATEGY 2

Secure the Frontier: Annexation of Punjab

Punjab was a strong, martial region at the **north-west gate of India** — the same route through which invaders like **Babur** and **Ahmad Shah Abdali** had entered. Dalhousie feared that an independent Punjab could ally with outsiders and threaten British India.

The Decline of Sikh Power

After **Maharaja Ranjit Singh’s death in 1839**, Punjab was weakened by internal infighting. The **First Anglo-Sikh War (1845–46)** ended with a British victory, but Punjab was not fully annexed. Instead, the British placed a **Resident**, interfered in governance, and steadily increased their control. The Sikhs felt **insulted and controlled**.

The Trigger: Multan Revolt (1848)

A revolt in **Multan** in 1848, where British officers were killed, became the trigger for the **Second Anglo-Sikh War (1848–49)**. **Multan Fort** was besieged for months before falling in **January 1849**. After further battles, **Punjab was annexed in March 1849**.

When an empire feels unsafe at the border, it tries to remove every strong power near that border — fully and finally.

Smart Reorganisation of Punjab

After annexation, Dalhousie treated Punjab not as a crushed enemy but as a **border province to be stabilised quickly**:

Reform Area	Details
Administration	Divided into districts; officers made accountable; decision-making made faster
Local Chiefs	Not insulted or removed suddenly; watched, controlled, and adjusted into the new system
Law & Order	New rules and courts, but focus on stability and security, not friction with the public
Military	Heavy military presence maintained as Punjab was the frontier

KEY INSIGHT — WHY PUNJAB DID NOT

REVOLT IN 1857: The British had already built a **controlled and stable system** in Punjab after 1849. Punjab remained **far more loyal** compared to places like Awadh where people felt openly cheated and humiliated.

STRATEGY 3

Connect the Empire: Telegraph & Railways

After Wellesley, **no Governor-General expanded the map so aggressively** as Dalhousie. But the empire had become **too big** to control from Calcutta. Orders and news took weeks to travel. Dalhousie’s solution: **connect the empire** so it could be controlled faster.

Part A: The Telegraph Revolution

Dalhousie pushed strongly for **telegraph lines** to reduce communication time from weeks to **minutes**. The network grew rapidly:

Year	Milestone
1851	First line: Calcutta – Diamond Harbour (~48 km)
1854	Calcutta linked to Agra, Bombay & Madras

1856

Over 6,400 km of telegraph reaching even Peshawar

The British could now know first and act first.

Part B: The Railway Revolution

Dalhousie wanted railways for **two purposes**: (1) move **soldiers and weapons** quickly during revolts, and (2) transport **goods** for revenue. In 1853, he sent a famous **“Minute”** strongly pushing the railway plan.

INDIA'S FIRST PASSENGER TRAIN

Date: 16 April 1853

Route: Bori Bunder (Bombay) → Thane (34 km)

Engines: Sahib, Sindh, and Sultan

By 1856: ~580 km of line open for traffic

Why Bombay–Thane First? (Not Calcutta)

The first railway was chosen for **quick success and clear profit**, not capital city pride. Bombay was a major port. **Thane was close** and the route was easier to build — a natural first step before extending towards Kalyan and the Deccan.

The Guarantee System

The Company offered British investors a **guaranteed fixed return** on railway investments — even if the railways ran at a loss. This attracted massive capital from Britain and fast-tracked construction. By 1856, around **580 km** of track was operational.

IMPORTANT FOR UPSC: Railways and telegraph were **not planned to develop India** but to **serve the colonial system**. Many Indians viewed them with **fear and suspicion** — recognising that this new speed would help the rulers more than the ruled.

SUMMARY

Quick Revision — All Key Points

Context (Pre-1848)

Pressure building since 1757 through smaller rebellions. Treaties maintained some trust. 1857 was decades of accumulated pressure finally bursting. The nine years before 1857 brought the most rapid and destabilising changes.

Lord Dalhousie (1848–56)

Youngest G-G at 35. Ruled 8 transformative years. Mindset: “This land must be controlled fully.” Broke trust between Company and rulers. Most aggressive expansion since Wellesley.

Doctrine of Lapse

No natural son → state “lapses” to Company. No adopted heir accepted. Annexed: Satara (1848), Jaitpur (1849), Sambalpur (1849), Jhansi (1853–54), Nagpur (1854). Core political cause of 1857; most frequently asked UPSC topic.

Jhansi & Rani Lakshmbai

Adopted son Damodar Rao (~4 years) not accepted. Rani Lakshmbai, 24, refused regency. Annexation March 1854. She tried peaceful methods for months. “Main apni Jhansi nahi doongi.” Taken by paperwork, not war — deepening sense of betrayal.

Punjab Annexation (1849)

North-west gate of India. Weakened after Ranjit Singh's death (1839). First Anglo-Sikh War (1845–46) → British Resident placed. Multan revolt → Second Anglo-Sikh War. Annexed March 1849. Smart reorganisation: districts, local chiefs retained, stability focus. This is why Punjab did NOT revolt in 1857.

Telegraph (1851–1856)

1851: Calcutta–Diamond Harbour (48 km). 1854: linked to Agra, Bombay, Madras. 1856: 6,400+ km network reaching Peshawar. British could “know first, act first.”

Railways (1853–1856)

16 April 1853: first train Bombay (Bori Bunder) to Thane (34 km). Engines: Sahib, Sindh, Sultan. Chosen for quick success, not capital pride. Guarantee System attracted British capital. ~580 km by 1856. Planned for colonial control, not Indian development.



The Core Takeaway

Dalhousie's three strategies — **expanding territory** (Doctrine of Lapse), **securing borders** (Punjab), and **connecting the empire** (telegraph & railways) — created deep mistrust, anger, and helplessness among Indian rulers and people.

These political causes formed **one of the four pillars** leading to 1857. The remaining three — economic, socio-religious, and immediate — will be covered in upcoming videos.

Watch the Full Video Lecture

YouTube: [Clarity of Concept UPSC](#)

<https://youtu.be/IzTIIh3QAOk>



Connect with Clarity UPSC

Website: clarityupsc.com | Telegram: [@Clarity_of_Concept_UPSC](https://t.me/Clarity_of_Concept_UPSC)

NEXT IN THIS SERIES

Episode 2: Economic Causes of the Revolt of 1857

Drain of wealth, destruction of Indian industries, exploitation of peasants and artisans — the economic foundations of the great uprising.